

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,196	09/19/2001	Hiroto Hirakoso	SON-2213	9433	
23353 7	7590 07/08/2004	EXAMINER			
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501			TUCKER,	TUCKER, WESLEY J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2623	9	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004	4 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/955,196	HIRAKOSO, HIROTO		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Wes Tucker	2623		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap				
Period for Reply		(A) 5004		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	September 2001.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Application Papers	•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2001 is/		ected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1196	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	r priority arract of e.e.e. g riot	2) (4) 6. (.).		
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ition No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior				
application from the International Burea	•	ŭ		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	/ed.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.	6) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/955,196

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of U.S. Patent 6,704,463 to Okada et al. and U.S. Patent 6,510,254 to Nakami et al.

With regard to claim 1, Okada discloses an image processing method for enlarging or reducing a digital image, characterizes in that interpolation signals between discrete original pixels used for calculating an output pixel value are calculated by an FIR digital filter using as an interpolation function based on either a cubic convolution method or a bilinear method (column 2, lines 61-65 and column 3, lines 14-20 and Fig. 11), but does not disclose using a function obtained by composing both cubic convolution and bilinear methods.

Nakami discloses a function for interpolation based on composing a function based on a cubic convolution method and a function based on a bilinear method (Fig. 19). Nakami teaches that this hybrid (bilinear/cubic) bicubic function is useful in increasing the sharpness of the image (column 12, lines 25-30). Nakami also teaches

Application/Control Number: 09/955,196

Art Unit: 2623

that the amount of computation is becomes increasingly larger for the cubic method and that the trade off between image quality/sharpness and processing speed is optimal using the bicubic function (column 12, lines 30-39). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the bicubic function as an optimal choice for interpolating in the method of Okada in order to maintain image quality/sharpness while reducing computation time as taught by Nakami.

With regard to claim 2, the combination of Okada and Nakami disclose the image processing method as claimed in claim 1, wherein said FIR filter uses as an interpolation function, a function that is obtained by composing a part of the function based on the cubic convolution method and a part of the function based on the bilinear method. Nakami further discloses where the interpolation is asymmetric with respect to the right and left (Fig. 19).

With regard to claim 3, the discussion of claim 1 applies. Okada discloses an apparatus to be used with his method (Fig. 1).

With regard to claim 4, the discussion of claim 2 applies. Nakama discloses an apparatus (Fig. 2) and discloses the asymmetric interpolation function (Fig. 19).

Application/Control Number: 09/955,196

Art Unit: 2623

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wes Tucker whose telephone number is 703-305-6700. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wes Tucker

7-1-04

Jon Chang
Primary Examiner